1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California		
2	THOMAS S. LAZAR Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	DAVID P CHAN, State Bar No. 159343 Deputy Attorney General		
4	California Department of Justice 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100		
5	San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2600 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9			
10	BEFORE THE RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD		
11	DEPARTMENT OF CON STATE OF CAL		
12			
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. R-2055	
14	MAURO ANTONIO 7224 Zest Street	ACCUSATION	
15	San Diego, CA 92139		
16	Respiratory Care Practitioner License No. 24702		
17	Respondent.		
18			
19	Complainant alleges:		
20	PARTIE	<u>.S</u>	
21	Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her		
22	official capacity as the Executive Director of the Respiratory Care Board of California,		
23	Department of Consumer Affairs.		
24	2. On or about September 29, 20	005, the Respiratory Care Board issued	
25	Respiratory Care Practitioner License Number 24702 to MAURO ANTONIO (Respondent). The		
26	Respiratory Care Practitioner License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the		
27	charges brought herein, expired on October 31, 2006	6, and has not been renewed.	
28	///		

or

1	<u>JURISDICTION</u>	
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Before the Respiratory Care Board	
3	(Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section	
4	references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.	
5	4. Section 118(b) of the Code states:	
6	"The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued	
7	by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board o	
8	by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not,	
9	during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board	
10	of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any	
11	ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise	
12	taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground."	
13	5. Section 3710 of the Code provides, in pertinent part:	
14	"The Respiratory Care Board of California, hereinafter referred to as the board,	
15	shall enforce and administer this chapter [Chapter 8.3, the Respiratory Care Practice Act]."	
16	6. Section 3718 of the Code states:	
17	"The board shall issue, deny, suspend, and revoke licenses to practice respiratory	
18	care as provided in this chapter."	
19	7. Sections 3750 of the Code states:	
20	"The board may order the denial, suspension, or revocation of, or the imposition	
21	of probationary conditions upon, a license issued under this chapter, for any of the	
22	following causes:	
23	"	
24	"(f) Negligence in his or her practice as a respiratory care practitioner.	
25	"(g) Conviction of a violation of any of the provisions of this chapter or of any	
26	provision of Division 2 (commencing with Section 500), or violating, or attempting to	
27	violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to	

violate any provision or term of this chapter or of any provision of Division 2

1	(commencing with Section 500).	
2	···	
3	"(j) The commission of any fraudulent, dishonest, or corrupt act which is	
4	substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care	
5	practitioner.	
6	"(k) Falsifying, or making grossly incorrect, grossly inconsistent, or unintelligible	
7	entries in any patient, hospital, or other record.	
8	"···	
9	"(o) Incompetence in his or her practice as a respiratory care practitioner.	
10	"(p) A pattern of substandard care."	
11	<u>COSTS RECOVERY</u>	
12	8. Section 3753.1 of the Code states:	
13	"(a) An administrative disciplinary decision imposing terms of probation may	
14	include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee-probationer pay the monetary	
15	costs associated with monitoring the probation.	
16	"(b) The board shall not renew or reinstate the license of any licensee who has	
17	failed to pay all of the costs ordered under this section once a licensee has served his or	
18	her term of probation."	
19	9. Section 3753.5 of the Code states, in part:	
20	"In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board,	
21	the board or the administrative law judge may direct any practitioner or applicant found to have	
22	committed a violation or violations of law to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the costs of the	
23	investigation and prosecution of the case "	
24	10. Section 3753.7 of the Code states:	
25	"For purpose of this Chapter, costs of prosecution shall include attorney general or	
26	other prosecuting attorney fees, expert witness fees, and other administrative, filing, and service	
27	fees."	
28	///	

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Negligence)

11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 3750,
subdivisions (f) and (g) of the Code, in that Respondent was negligent in his care and treatment
of several patients, as more particularly alleged hereinafter.

Respondent's employee locker. These medication bags were filled with respiratory medication that had been removed from the Pyxis¹ by Respondent and documented as having been provided to the patients assigned to him during several shifts in December, 2005. When confronted about the medicine bags discovered in his locker, Respondent admitted that he did not administer these medications to his patients as he had charted and charged for. Respondent stated that he did not like respiratory therapy. The circumstances pertaining to the patients who were under the care of Respondent are as follows:

Patient P.E.

- A. In or about December, 2005, patient P.E., a 76-year-old male, was a patient at S.C. Medical Center. Respondent was assigned to patient P.E. on or about December 15 and 16, 2005.
- B. On or about December 13, 2005, patient P.E.'s physician placed an order to hold the administration of Advair² and Spiriva³. There was no subsequent order to re-start either medications.
- C. On or about December 15, 2005, Respondent administered both Advair and Spiriva to patient P.E. Respondent withdrew from the Pyxis, three respiratory treatments consisting of Levalbuterol HCL.⁴ Respondent administered two of

^{1.} A medication dispensing system.

^{2.} Administered to treat Asthma and Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD)

^{3.} Administered to treat bronchospasm.

^{4.} A bronchodilator used to treat asthma and COPD.

these treatments to patient P.E. and he charged for three treatments.

D. On or about December 16, 2005, Respondent administered both Advair and Spiriva to patient P.E. Respondent withdrew from the Pyxis, three respiratory treatments consisting of Albuterol⁵ and Ipratropium.⁶ Respondent administered one of these treatments to patient P.E. and he charged for three treatments.

Patient B.B.

- A. In or about December, 2005, patient B.B., a 67-year-old female, was a patient at S.C. Medical Center. Respondent was assigned to patient B.B. on or about December 15 and 16, 2005.
- B. On or about December 15, 2005, Respondent withdrew from the Pyxis, three respiratory treatments consisting of Albuterol and Ipratropium. Respondent administered two of these treatments to patient B.B. and he charged for three treatments.
- C. On or about December 16, 2005, Respondent withdrew from the Pyxis, three respiratory treatments consisting of Albuterol and Ipratropium. Respondent administered one of these treatments to patient B.B. and he charged for three treatments.

Patient J.A.

- A. In or about December, 2005, patient J.A., a 47-year-old male, was a patient at S.C. Medical Center. Respondent was assigned to patient J.A. on or about December 15 and 16, 2005.
- B. On or about December 15, 2005, Respondent withdrew from the Pyxis, three respiratory treatments consisting of Albuterol. Respondent did not document that he administered any of these treatments to patient J.A. Respondent charged for three treatments.
- C. On or about December 16, 2005, Respondent withdrew from the Pyxis, two respiratory treatments consisting of Albuterol. Respondent did not document
- 5. Administered to treat bronchospasm.
- 6. A bronchodilator.

28

- B. On or about December 15, 2005, Respondent withdrew from the Pyxis, two respiratory treatments consisting of Albuterol and Ipratropium. Respondent did not document that he administered any of these treatments to patient A.W. Respondent charged for two treatments.
- C. On or about December 16, 2005, Respondent withdrew from the Pyxis, two respiratory treatments consisting of Albuterol and Ipratropium. Respondent did not document that he administered any of these treatments to patient A.W. Respondent charged for two treatments.
- A. In or about December, 2005, patient E.T., a 86-year-old male, was a patient at S.C. Medical Center. Respondent was assigned to patient E.T. on or about December 16, 2005.
- B. On or about December 16, 2005, Respondent withdrew from the Pyxis, three respiratory treatments consisting of Albuterol and Ipratropium. Respondent administered one of these treatments to patient E.T. and he charged for three treatments.
- A. In or about December, 2005, patient J.J., a 76-year-old female, was a patient at S.C. Medical Center. Respondent was assigned to patient J.J. on or about December 20, 2005.
- B. On or about December 20, 2005, Respondent withdrew from the Pyxis, two respiratory treatments consisting of Albuterol. Respondent did not document that he administered any of these treatments to patient J.J. Respondent charged for two treatments.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Act or Acts of Dishonesty)

13. Respondent is further subjected to disciplinary action under section 3750, subdivisions (g) and (j) of the Code, in that he committed act or acts of dishonesty which is/are substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner, as

16. Respondent is further subjected to disciplinary action under section 3750, subdivisions (g) and (o) of the Code, in that he was incompetent in the care and treatment of patients assigned to him, as more particularly alleged hereinafter:

26

27

1	<u>PRAYER</u>	
2	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein	
3	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Respiratory Care Board issue a decision:	
4	1. Revoking Respiratory Care Practitioner License No. 24702 heretofore	
5	issued by the Board to MAURO ANTONIO;	
6	2. Ordering MAURO ANTONIO to pay the Respiratory Care Board the costs	
7	of the investigation of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring;	
8	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
9		
10	DATED: February 1, 2007	
11		
12	Original signed by Liane Zimmerman for:	
13	STEPHANIE NUNEZ Executive Officer	
14	Respiratory Care Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs	
15	State of California Complainant	
16	Complamant	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		